

### **Remark**

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application as amended. Claims 30, 38, 41, 45, 48, 52, 54 and 56 have been amended. Claims 1-29, 31 and 49 have been cancelled. Claim 44 has been withdrawn. Therefore, claims 30, 32-43, 45-48 and 50-58 are present for examination.

### **Claim Objections**

Claim 44 is objected to because of the following informalities: there is no claim 44. Claim 44 is indicated as withdrawn in the amendments above.

### **35 U.S.C. §103 Rejection**

#### *Bluetooth in view of Kammer*

The Examiner has rejected claims 30-43 and 45-58 under 35 U.S.C. §103 (a) as being unpatentable over "Specification of the Bluetooth System-Part E", ("Bluetooth Specification"), in view of Kammer, U.S. Patent No. 6,826,387 ("Kammer"). Kammer would appear to describe a process analogous to the present invention in association with Figure 9. In Figure 9, "the virtual serial port driver... performs the added function of providing the service name..." "[T]he service name includes the RFCOMM channel number for the virtual serial port associated with the legacy application." "[T]he service name [is] provided by the virtual serial port driver." These passages suggest that there is a clear connection between a service name and a virtual serial port.

In for example, Claim 1, the relationship between the service name and the virtual communications port is not so clearly connected. Claim 1 recites "the connection request including the service name to indicate the appropriate service, and not including an

indication of the virtual communications port through which the service record was received." As explained in the specification, "This avoids the problem of maintaining and discovering current information about the virtual communication port used by the service." The other claims contain similar limitations and are believed to be allowable for that reason, among others.

### **Conclusion**

Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections have been overcome by the amendment and remark, and that the claims as amended are now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the rejections be withdrawn and the claims as amended be allowed.

### **Invitation for a Telephone Interview**

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (303) 740-1980 if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

### **Request for an Extension of Time**


Applicants respectfully petition for an extension of time to respond to the outstanding Office Action pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) should one be necessary. Please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 to cover the necessary fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a) for such an extension.

Charge our Deposit Account.

Please charge any shortage to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,  
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: November 22, 2005

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gordon R. Lindeen III  
Reg. No. 33,192

12400 Wilshire Boulevard  
7th Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90025-1030  
(303) 740-1980